

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C-08-1641 EDL

v.

CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULING  
ORDER

NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC.,

Defendant.

/

Following the Case Management Conference held on July 1, 2008, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

THAT:

A further case management conference is set for March 3, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. before Magistrate Judge Laporte in Courtroom E, 15th Floor, U.S. District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California. An updated joint case management conference statement shall be filed no later than February 24, 2009. The purpose of this status conference is to discuss the logistics and parameters of the claim construction briefing and hearing, including the number of terms the Court will construe. While the parties have not stipulated to use of the new local patent rules, the parties are advised that the Court will likely require them to prioritize disputed patent claims as set forth in new Patent Local Rule 4-1(b).

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the following case management and pretrial order is entered:

1. PATENT LOCAL RULE EXCHANGES AND CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

a. Disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions and document production pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-1 and 3-2 shall be made by July 16, 2008.

1               b. Patent L.R. 3-3 preliminary invalidity contentions and L.R. 3-4 document  
2 production are due no later than September 2, 2008. To extent not already disclosed, the parties shall  
3 also exchange mandatory disclosures other than information directed solely toward damages by this  
4 date.

5               c. Patent L.R. 4-1 exchange of proposed terms and claims elements for construction  
6 shall occur no later than September 19, 2008.

7               d. Patent L.R. 4-2 exchange of preliminary claim constructions and extrinsic  
8 evidence shall occur no later than October 17, 2008.

9               e. The parties shall file a Patent L.R. 4-3 joint claim construction statement and  
10 provide an estimate of how many pages are needed to brief the dispute no later than November 14, 2008.

11               f. Claim construction discovery shall be completed by December 19, 2008.

12               g. The parties claiming patent infringement shall file and serve opening claim  
13 construction briefs pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(a) no later than January 16, 2009.

14               h. Opposition claim construction briefs pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(b) shall be filed  
15 and served no later than February 6, 2009.

16               i. Reply claim construction briefs shall be filed and served no later than February  
17 20, 2009.

18               j. The Court will hold a Patent L.R. 4-6 claim construction hearing on March 25,  
19 2009 at 9:30 a.m.

20               k. After the Court construes the claims, it will set a further case management  
21 conference, during which it will set prompt dates for the rest of the case.

22               2. DISCOVERY

23               a. As the parties have so stipulated, the Court will not set limits to the number of  
24 depositions, requests for documents, and requests for admission. Each side shall be limited to 40  
25 interrogatories, but this takes into account the parties' agreement that no interrogatory shall be  
26 objectionable as containing sub-parts on the ground that a single interrogatory requires a response as  
27 to some or all of the patents in suit. Regarding deposition length, the seven hour limit applies, with two  
28 exceptions. First, inventor depositions shall be limited to one day per patent. If a party wishes to  
exceed these limits without agreement from the other side, the party will need to show good cause.

1 There is a soft presumptive limit of 14 hours for Rule 30(b)(6) depositions. Deposition time of someone  
2 deposed as an individual does not count towards 30(b)(6) deposition time. Any deposition transcript  
3 from the 07-6053 case may be used or relied upon for any purpose in this case (and vice-versa).

4       b.      Rule 26(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires all parties to  
5 supplement or correct their initial disclosures, expert disclosures, pretrial disclosures, and responses to  
6 discovery requests under the circumstances itemized in that Rule, and when ordered by the Court. The  
7 Court expects that the parties will supplement and/or correct their disclosures promptly when required  
8 under that Rule, without the need for a request from opposing counsel. **In addition to the general  
9 requirements of Rule 26(e)(1), the parties will supplement and/or correct all previously made  
10 disclosures and discovery responses 28 days before the fact discovery cutoff date.**

11       c.      Pursuant to Civil L.R. 37-1(b), telephone conferences are available to resolve  
12 disputes during a discovery event, such as a deposition, where the resolution during the event likely  
13 would result in substantial savings of expense or time.

14       d.      **Privilege logs.** If a party withholds information that is responsive to a discovery  
15 request, and is otherwise discoverable under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by claiming that it  
16 is privileged, or protected from discovery under the attorney work product doctrine or any other  
17 protective doctrine (including, but not limited to, privacy rights), that party shall prepare a "privilege  
18 log" (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)) setting forth the privilege relied upon and specifying separately for each  
19 document or for each category of identically situated documents:

- 20           1.      The name, job title, or capacity of the author;
- 21           2.      The name, job title, or capacity of each recipient;
- 22           3.      The date the document was prepared and, if different, the date(s)  
23                   on which it was sent to or shared with persons other than its  
24                   author(s);
- 25           4.      The title and description of the document;
- 26           5.      The subject matter addressed in the document;
- 27           6.      The purpose(s) for which it was prepared or communicated; and
- 28           7.      The specific basis for the claim that it is privileged.

1 The privilege log production shall be governed by the parties' stipulation. To the extent that this  
2 order conflicts with the parties' Court-approved stipulations, those stipulations govern.

3 e. In responding to requests for documents and materials under Rule 34 of the  
4 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, all parties shall affirmatively state in a written response served on all  
5 other parties the full extent to which they will produce materials and shall, promptly after the  
6 production, confirm in writing that they have produced all such materials so described that are locatable  
7 after a diligent search of all locations at which such materials might plausibly exist.

8 f. Before filing a discovery motion with the Court, the parties shall file a two page  
9 joint letter notifying the Court of the nature of the dispute and how the parties would like the Court to  
10 handle the dispute.

Finally, to the extent that the parties have stipulated to certain items in their joint case management statement not discussed above, these are hereby incorporated into this order.

13 | Dated: July 2, 2008

Elizabeth D. Laporte  
ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Magistrate Judge